

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/537,416	Applicant(s) TAKASHIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner Irene Marx	Art Unit 1651	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Irene Marx. (3) _____.

(2) Ms. Takagi. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 03 April 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Upon applicant's request, receipt of after final was acknowledged and the newly presented claims reviewed for allowability and discussed with counsel prior to or instead of sending out an advisory action.. Counsel was informed that claims 10-15 would be allowable upon cancellation of claims 16-22. It was also indicated that amendments to reword claim 18, for example, would raise new issues.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required